than one-fortieth of a lifetime. This, together with the fact that the scattered radiation became immeasurable at approximately the same temperature as did persistence of radiation in the quartz cell, leads us to assume that reflection introduces no delay at any temperature.

As an additional check on the behavior of the scattered radiation from the quartz cell in the region 150°C to 300°C, the alternating current method was employed in a somewhat different manner. The ratio, S, of the photo-current for negative and positive voltages on the grid of the photo-cell was approximately 0.28, and the persistence of the radiation reflected from the quartz-mercury vapor surface (persistence due only to E) resulted in more energy arriving at the photo-cell in the positive half-cycles than in the negative. Hence the reflected radiation  $a = a_s \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . In this test the phase of the voltage applied to the photo-cell was reversed with respect to that on the excitation cell, so that in the formula for R, S was replaced by 1/S, i.e.,  $R = 1 - a_s(1 + 1/S)$  $=1-4.57a_s$ . Hence R=0, for the frequency for

which  $a_s = 0.219$ . The frequency was then determined for which the photoelectric current was zero with the quartz cell at 300°C, at which temperature there was no measurable persistence in the quartz cell. Using this frequency in the modified circuit, measurements of the photocurrent for different vapor pressures were made. The results are shown in Fig. 3 by curve D, the observed points being given by crosses. The scale of ordinates of D, shown at the right of the figure, is of course arbitrary and was adjusted to coincide with C at 150°C. The two curves are coincident within the precision of measurement. This result agrees with the conclusions that reflection was instantaneous for all pressures, and that the relation between the intensity of the absorbed and re-emitted (scattered) energy and the time which gave the limiting R-f curve of Fig. 7 remained unchanged at temperatures above 150°C.

The authors take this opportunity to thank Professor L. J. Hayner for her assistance in this investigation.

PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 72, NUMBER 4

AUGUST 15, 1947

## The Electromagnetic Shift of Energy Levels

Н. А. ВЕТНЕ Cornell University, Ithaca, New York (Received June 27, 1947)

 $B^{\mathrm{Y}}$  very beautiful experiments, Lamb and Retherford have shown that the fine structure of the second quantum state of hydrogen does not agree with the prediction of the Dirac theory. The 2s level, which according to Dirac's theory should coincide with the  $2p_{i}$  level, is actually higher than the latter by an amount of about 0.033 cm<sup>-1</sup> or 1000 megacycles. This discrepancy had long been suspected from spectroscopic measurements.<sup>2,3</sup> However, so far no satisfactory theoretical explanation has been given. Kemble and Present, and Pasternack<sup>4</sup> have shown that the shift of the 2s level cannot be explained by a nuclear interaction of reasonable magnitude, and Uehling<sup>5</sup> has investigated the effect of the "polarization of the vacuum" in the Dirac hole theory, and has found that this effect also is much too small and has, in addition, the wrong sign.

Schwinger and Weisskopf, and Oppenheimer have suggested that a possible explanation might be the shift of energy levels by the interaction of the electron with the radiation field. This shift comes out infinite in all existing theories, and has therefore always been ignored. However, it is possible to identify the most strongly (linearly) divergent term in the level shift with an electromagnetic mass effect which must exist for a bound as well as for a free electron. This effect should

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Phys. Rev. **72**, 241 (1947). <sup>2</sup> W. V. Houston, Phys. Rev. **51**, 446 (1937).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> R. C. Williams, Phys. Rev. **54**, 558 (1938). <sup>4</sup> E. C. Kemble and R. D. Present, Phys. Rev. **44**, 1031 (1932); S. Pasternack, Phys. Rev. **54**, 1113 (1938).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> E. A. Uehling, Phys. Rev. 48, 55 (1935).

properly be regarded as already included in the observed mass of the electron, and we must therefore subtract from the theoretical expression, the corresponding expression for a free electron of the same average kinetic energy. The result then diverges only logarithmically (instead of linearly) in non-relativistic theory: Accordingly, it may be expected that in the hole theory, in which the main term (self-energy of the electron) diverges only logarithmically, the result will be convergent after subtraction of the free electron expression. This would set an effective upper limit of the order of  $mc^2$  to the frequencies of light which effectively contribute to the shift of the level of a bound electron. I have not carried out the relativistic calculations, but I shall assume that such an effective relativistic limit exists.

The ordinary radiation theory gives the following result for the self-energy of an electron in a quantum state m, due to its interaction with transverse electromagnetic waves:

$$W = -(2e^2/3\pi\hbar c^3)$$

$$\times \int_0^K kdk \sum_n |\mathbf{v}_{mn}|^2/(E_n - E_m + k), \quad (1)$$

where  $k = \hbar \omega$  is the energy of the quantum and **v** is the velocity of the electron which, in non-relativistic theory, is given by

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{p}/m = (\hbar/im)\nabla. \tag{2}$$

Relativistically,  $\mathbf{v}$  should be replaced by  $c\alpha$  where  $\alpha$  is the Dirac operator. Retardation has been neglected and can actually be shown to make no substantial difference. The sum in (1) goes over all atomic states n, the integral over all quantum energies k up to some maximum K to be discussed later.

For a free electron,  $\mathbf{v}$  has only diagonal elements and (1) is replaced by

$$W_0 = -(2e^2/3\pi hc^3) \int kdk \mathbf{v}^2/k.$$
 (3)

This expression represents the change of the kinetic energy of the electron for fixed mo-

mentum, due to the fact that electromagnetic mass is added to the mass of the electron. This electromagnetic mass is already contained in the experimental electron mass; the contribution (3) to the energy should therefore be disregarded. For a bound electron,  $\mathbf{v}^2$  should be replaced by its expectation value,  $(\mathbf{v}^2)_{mm}$ . But the matrix elements of  $\mathbf{v}$  satisfy the sum rule

$$\sum_{n} |\mathbf{v}_{mn}|^2 = (\mathbf{v}^2)_{mm}. \tag{4}$$

Therefore the relevant part of the self-energy becomes

$$W' = W - W_0 = +\frac{2e^2}{3\pi\hbar c^3}$$

$$C^K |\mathbf{v}_{mn}|^2 (E_n)$$

$$\times \int_{0}^{K} dk \sum_{n} \frac{|\mathbf{v}_{mn}|^{2} (E_{n} - E_{m})}{E_{n} - E_{m} + k}. \quad (5)$$

This we shall consider as a true shift of the levels due to radiation interaction.

It is convenient to integrate (5) first over k. Assuming K to be large compared with all energy differences  $E_n - E_m$  in the atom,

$$W' = \frac{2e^2}{3\pi\hbar c^3} \sum_{n} |\mathbf{v}_{mn}|^2 (E_n - E_m) \ln \frac{K}{|E_n - E_m|}.$$
 (6)

(If  $E_n - E_m$  is negative, it is easily seen that the principal value of the integral must be taken, as was done in (6).) Since we expect that relativity theory will provide a natural cut-off for the frequency k, we shall assume that in (6)

$$K \approx mc^2$$
. (7)

(This does not imply the same limit in Eqs. (2) and (3).) The argument in the logarithm in (6) is therefore very large; accordingly, it seems permissible to consider the logarithm as constant (independent of n) in first approximation.

We therefore should calculate

$$A = \sum_{n} A_{nm} = \sum_{n} |\mathbf{p}_{nm}|^{2} (E_{n} - E_{m}).$$
 (8)

This sum is well known; it is

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sum |\mathbf{p}_{nm}|^2 (E_n - E_m) \\ &= -\hbar^2 \int \psi_m *\nabla V \cdot \nabla \psi_m d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}h^2 \int \nabla^2 V \psi_m^2 d\tau = 2\pi h^2 e^2 Z \psi_m^2(0), \qquad (9)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> It was first suggested by Schwinger and Weisskopf that hole theory must be used to obtain convergence in this problem.

for a nuclear charge Z. For any electron with angular momentum  $l\neq 0$ , the wave function vanishes at the nucleus; therefore, the sum A=0. For example, for the 2p level the negative contribution  $A_{1S,2P}$  balances the positive contributions from all other transitions. For a state with l=0, however,

$$\psi_m^2(0) = (Z/na)^3/\pi,\tag{10}$$

where n is the principal quantum number and a is the Bohr radius.

Inserting (10) and (9) into (6) and using relations between atomic constants, we get for an S state

$$W_{ns}' = \frac{8}{3\pi} \left(\frac{e^2}{\hbar c}\right)^3 \text{Ry} \frac{Z^4}{n^3} \ln \frac{K}{\langle E_n - E_m \rangle_{\text{AV}}}, \quad (11)$$

where Ry is the ionization energy of the ground state of hydrogen. The shift for the 2p state is negligible; the logarithm in (11) is replaced by a value of about -0.04. The average excitation energy  $\langle E_n - E_m \rangle_{\mathbb{N}}$  for the 2s state of hydrogen has been calculated numerically and found to be 17.8 Ry, an amazingly high value. Using this figure and  $K = \text{mc}^2$ , the logarithm has the value 7.63, and we find

$$W_{ns}' = 136 \ln[K/(E_n - E_m)]$$

$$= 1040 \text{ megacycles.} \quad (12)$$

This is in excellent agreement with the observed value of 1000 megacycles.

A relativistic calculation to establish the limit K is in progress. Even without exact knowledge of K, however, the agreement is sufficiently good to give confidence in the basic theory. This shows

- (1) that the level shift due to interaction with radiation is a real effect and is of finite magnitude,
- (2) that the effect of the infinite electromagnetic mass of a point electron can be eliminated by proper identification of terms in the Dirac radiation theory,
- (3) that an accurate experimental and theoretical investigation of the level shift may establish relativistic effects (e.g., Dirac hole theory). These effects will be of the order of unity in comparison with the logarithm in Eq. (11).

If the present theory is correct, the level shift should increase roughly as  $Z^4$  but not quite so rapidly, because of the variation of  $\langle E_n - E_m \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}$  in the logarithm. For example, for He<sup>+</sup>, the shift of the 2s level should be about 13 times its value for hydrogen, giving 0.43 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and that of the 3s level about 0.13 cm<sup>-1</sup>. For the x-ray levels LI and LII, this effect should be superposed upon the effect of screening which it partly compensates. An accurate theoretical calculation of the screening is being undertaken to establish this point.

This paper grew out of extensive discussions at the Theoretical Physics Conference on Shelter Island, June 2 to 4, 1947. The author wishes to express his appreciation to the National Academy of Science which sponsored this stimulating conference.

PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 72, NUMBER 4

AUGUST 15, 1947

## Spectral Location of the Absorption Due to Color Centers in Alkali Halide Crystals

Henry F. Ivey
Research Laboratory, Westinghouse Electric Corporation, Bloomfield, New Jersey
(Received June 2, 1947)

SEITZ<sup>1</sup> has recently published a paper summarizing the properties of the absorption bands arising from electrons trapped in the lattice of alkali halide crystals. The present writer is not aware of the existence of a compilation of data on the spectral location of these various bands. Table I was prepared to collect

this information. The terminology for the bands is that used by Seitz. In this respect it is of interest to point out that the band designated by Seitz as the *M*-band (after Molnar) has been called the *O*-band (after Ottmer) by Kalabuchov.<sup>2</sup>

In addition to the F-,  $R_1$ -,  $R_2$ -, and M-bands occurring in the pure alkali halides, Table I also

 $<sup>^{7}\,\</sup>mathrm{I}$  am indebted to Dr. Stehn and Miss Steward for the numerical calculations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> F. Seitz, Rev. Mod. Phys. 18, 384 (1946).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> N. Kalabuchov, J. Phys. U.S.S.R. 9, 41 (1945).